Exhibit 2

From: Krause, Summer < Summer.Krause@dlapiper.com>

Sent:Wednesday, July 30, 2014 7:11 PMTo:Peter Scoolidge; John BattagliaCc:Papastavros, Nick; Choksi, Maya

Subject: RE: Voice Domain v. Apple (D. Mass.) - Motion for Leave to File Sur-reply

Peter,

We do not agree with your assertion that the protective order briefing would be complete with Voice Domain's reply. On the contrary, neither the Massachusetts Local Rules (L.R. 7.1(b)(3)) nor Judge Hillman's order setting forth the protective order briefing schedule (Dkt. 41) contemplate that Voice Domain would file a reply. Therefore, it appears that Voice Domain's filing of a reply without leave of the Court or a 7.1(a)(2) meet and confer was improper. Since Voice Domain filed a reply responding to Apple's protective order brief, Apple should also be given this same opportunity to respond to the arguments set forth in Voice Domain's reply. The briefing schedule would have been complete with the filing of Apple's brief on July 17th had Voice Domain not improperly filed a reply. As such, it is Voice Domain that is prolonging the protective order briefing, not Apple. Apple will not agree to Voice Domain's proposed interim protective order. Please let us know if Voice Domain will assent to or oppose Apple's motion for leave to file a Sur-reply.

In addition, per my email yesterday, please also let us know the correct patent number for the Apple patent identified in Voice Domain's infringement contentions.

Thanks, Summer

Summer Krause DLA Piper LLP (US) 650.833.2443 summer.krause@dlapiper.com

From: Peter Scoolidge [mailto:Peter.Scoolidge@fischllp.com]

Sent: Wednesday, July 30, 2014 9:59 AM

To: Choksi, Maya; John Battaglia **Cc:** Papastavros, Nick; Krause, Summer

Subject: RE: Voice Domain v. Apple (D. Mass.) - Motion for Leave to File Sur-reply

Dear Maya and Summer,

Hope all remains well with you both.

Thanks for your e-mails. We were out of pocket for most of the day yesterday. But what is the basis for a surreply? As you'll recall, we already set forth with Judge Hillman in our June conference that briefing would be complete upon the filing of Voice Domain's reply, and that we'd have this schedule to help expedite a decision on the disputed PO provisions. Given the importance of this timing, I think we could still agree to a sur-reply if Apple will drop its objection and agree to having the interim PO entered while the Court adjudicates the underlying disputed provisions for a final PO. Please let us know.

Best, Peter Scoolidge Fisch Sigler LLP 432 Park Avenue South Fourth Floor New York, NY 10016 212.235.0445 (direct) www.FischLLP.com

From: Choksi, Maya [mailto:maya.choksi@dlapiper.com]

Sent: Tuesday, July 29, 2014 5:30 PM **To:** John Battaglia; Peter Scoolidge **Cc:** Papastavros, Nick; Krause, Summer

Subject: Voice Domain v. Apple (D. Mass.) - Motion for Leave to File Sur-reply

John and Peter:

Apple intends to file a motion for leave to file a sur-reply brief in regards to the motion for protective order briefing. Pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(a)(2), please let us know if Voice Domain has any objection to the proposed motion for leave.

Regards, Maya

Maya Choksi

Associate

T +1 617.406.6095 **F** +1 617.406.6100 **E** maya.choksi@dlapiper.com



DLA Piper LLP (US) 33 Arch Street, 26th Floor Boston, Massachusetts 02110-1447 United States www.dlapiper.com

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

The information contained in this email may be confidential and/or legally privileged. It has been sent for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). If the reader of this message is not an intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication, or any of its contents, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please reply to the sender and destroy all copies of the message. To contact us directly, send to postmaster@dlapiper.com. Thank you.

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

The information contained in this email may be confidential and/or legally privileged. It has been sent for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). If the reader of this message is not an intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication, or any of its contents, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please reply to the sender and destroy all copies of the message. To contact us directly, send to postmaster@dlapiper.com. Thank you.